## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	V.	0 11 100 0000	
	Gerardo Sosa-Esquivel	Case No. 1:09-cr-00286-RJJ	
	25.57.64.7.		
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Re efendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – F	ndings of Fact	
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S which the prison term is 10 years or more	C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for e.	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	f ten years or more is prescribed in:	
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable stat		
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	volves:	
	a minor victim	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.		
(2)		while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presu person or the community. I further find that defenda	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anoth nt has not rebutted that presumption.	
	Alternativ	ve Findings (A)	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendar	t has committed an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et		
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est defendant's appearance and the safety of the comm	ablished by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the unity.	
,	Alternativ	ve Findings (B)	
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app		
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	•	
		the Reasons for Detention	
		ne detention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing	
	a preponderance of the evidence that:  ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co	ntest detention at this time	
	ndant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be		
		to the court's attention should his circumstances change.	

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	September 29, 2009	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	